EXPERIMENTAL TESTS FOR POLARIZED TIME INERTIA

David James Milton Hansen

March 28, 2026

Abstract

This companion document presents seven detailed experimental proposals for testing Polarized Time Inertia (PTI). Each test targets a specific prediction, providing theoretical background, experimental design, expected results under PTI vs. standard physics, required precision, and feasibility assessment.

Test 1: Non-Linear Gravitational Superposition

Theoretical Prediction

PTI predicts that gravitational fields superpose non-linearly due to recursive comparison structure.

$$g_{total} = SUM\ g_i + epsilon * SUM_{i!=j}\ g_i * g_j$$

Experimental Setup

High-precision torsion balance or atom interferometer between two precisely known masses at separations 1 cm to 1 m. Measure gravitational acceleration at midpoint.

Expected Results

Standard physics: $g_{total} = g_1 + g_2$ exactly (linear superposition in weak-field GR).

PTI prediction: $g_{total} = g_1 + g_2 + epsilon(g_1*g_2)$, with $epsilon \sim 10^{-15}$.

Precision Required

Current sensitivity ~10^{-15} m/s^2. Requires next-generation instruments.

Feasibility

High. Apparatus exists. Main challenge: seismic noise and systematic control.

Test 2: Higgs Field and Spatial Curvature Correlation

Theoretical Prediction

If Higgs field = space, then Higgs boson properties should correlate with local spatial curvature.

$$\sigma_H(t) \propto (1 + \alpha \cdot \Phi_{tidal}(t))$$

Experimental Setup

Statistical analysis of LHC Run 2/3 Higgs events correlated with tidal gravitational potential variations from Moon/Sun ($\Delta g \sim 10^{-7}$ m/s^2).

Expected Results

Standard physics: No correlation between Higgs properties and local gravity.

PTI prediction: Small but statistically significant modulation of Higgs production rates with tidal cycles.

Precision Required

Requires $>10^5$ Higgs events. Available from LHC Run 2+3.

Feasibility

Moderate. Data exists. Disentangling from accelerator performance systematics is challenging.

Test 3: Entanglement in Varying Gravitational Fields

Theoretical Prediction

PTI predicts entanglement correlations are subtly affected by gravitational field differences between detectors.

$$S\_CHSH = 2*sqrt(2) * (1 + beta * Delta\_Phi/c^2)$$

Experimental Setup

Bell test with polarization-entangled photons. One detector at ground, one at 10 km altitude (satellite or mountain). Compare CHSH parameter S for different configurations.

Expected Results

Standard physics: S = 2*sqrt(2) = 2.828 independent of gravitational potential.

PTI prediction: S deviation of order 10^-12 correlated with gravitational potential difference.

Precision Required

Requires ~10^24 entangled pairs for 10^-12 sensitivity.

Feasibility

Moderate-high. Satellite entanglement experiments exist (Micius). Statistical precision is the challenge.

Test 4: Dark Energy Equation of State Evolution

Theoretical Prediction

PTI predicts w(z) is slightly evolving rather than exactly -1.

$$w(z) = -1 + \delta_0 * (\rho_m(z)/\rho_c)$$

Experimental Setup

Constrain w(z) from Type Ia supernovae, BAO, and CMB power spectra. Compare PTI model vs. LCDM.

Expected Results

Standard physics: w = -1 exactly (cosmological constant).

PTI prediction: w(z) deviates from -1, with functional form predicted by PTI.

Precision Required

Current surveys (DESI) constrain w to ~5%. PTI needs to predict δ_0.

Feasibility

High. Data exists and is being analyzed. PTI must produce quantitative w(z) prediction.

Test 5: Planck-Scale Lorentz Invariance Violation

Theoretical Prediction

The discrete comparison structure implies energy-dependent light speed.

$$v(E) = c * (1 +/- (E/E\_Planck)^n), \quad n = 1 \text{ or } 2$$

Experimental Setup

Analyze GRB photon arrival times from Fermi telescope. Compare high-energy vs. low-energy photon arrival over cosmological distances.

Expected Results

Standard physics: No energy-dependent arrival time differences.

PTI prediction: Systematic energy-arrival correlation. For n=1, current limits constrain E_LIV > 10^19 GeV.

Precision Required

Fermi data provides sensitivity to E_LIV ~ 10^19 GeV for n=1.

Feasibility

High. Data exists from Fermi, H.E.S.S., MAGIC, VERITAS.

Test 6: Gravitational Wave Polarization Modes

Theoretical Prediction

PTI's space-flow model may produce additional GW polarization modes beyond GR's two tensor modes.

$$h_{ij} = h_+ * e_+^{ij} + h_x * e_x^{ij} + h_{scalar} * \delta^{ij}$$

Experimental Setup

Multi-detector GW analysis (LIGO, Virgo, KAGRA) to decompose polarization content of detected events.

Expected Results

Standard physics: Two tensor modes only (+ and x).

PTI prediction: Additional scalar breathing mode from space-flow oscillation.

Precision Required

Requires 3+ detectors with different orientations.

Feasibility

High. LIGO/Virgo/KAGRA network already operational.

Test 7: Spatial Quantization in Tabletop Experiments

Theoretical Prediction

Space is quantized at the Planck scale, detectable via accumulated effects.

$$\Delta x_{min} = l_P = \sqrt{\hbar G/c^3} \sim 1.616 \times 10^{-35}\ m$$

Experimental Setup

Tabletop optomechanical experiments measuring position uncertainty of a nanogram-scale mass at ultra-low temperatures.

Expected Results

Standard physics: Position can be measured to arbitrary precision (limited only by Heisenberg).

PTI prediction: Position measurements show Planck-scale granularity, detectable as excess noise.

Precision Required

Current tabletop sensitivity ~ 10^{-21} m. Needs improvement to 10^{-35} m.

Feasibility

Low-moderate. Far from Planck scale, but theoretical proposals exist for amplified signatures.

Summary

Test	PTI Prediction	Standard	Feasibility
1. Grav. Nonlinearity	Non-linear	Linear	High
2. Higgs-Curvature	Correlated	No corr.	Moderate
3. Entangle. + Gravity	S deviation	S constant	Mod-High
4. Dark Energy w(z)	Evolving	w=-1	High
5. Lorentz Violation	E-dep. speed	Constant c	High
6. GW Polarization	Extra modes	2 modes	High
7. Spatial Quanta	Planck noise	No noise	Low-Mod

Each test targets specific distinguishing predictions. Several are feasible with current technology.

References

[1] A. Einstein, "Die Grundlage der allgemeinen Relativitatstheorie," Annalen der Physik, vol. 354, no. 7, pp. 769-822, 1916.

[2] P. A. M. Dirac, "The Quantum Theory of the Electron," Proceedings of the Royal Society A, vol. 117, no. 778, pp. 610-624, 1928.

[3] P. W. Higgs, "Broken Symmetries and the Masses of Gauge Bosons," Physical Review Letters, vol. 13, no. 16, pp. 508-509, 1964.

[4] S. W. Hawking, "Particle Creation by Black Holes," Communications in Mathematical Physics, vol. 43, no. 3, pp. 199-220, 1975.

[5] H. Everett III, "Relative State Formulation of Quantum Mechanics," Reviews of Modern Physics, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 454-462, 1957.

[6] J. S. Bell, "On the Einstein Podolsky Rosen Paradox," Physics Physique Fizika, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 195-200, 1964.

[7] R. P. Feynman, "Space-Time Approach to Non-Relativistic Quantum Mechanics," Reviews of Modern Physics, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 367-387, 1948.

[8] M. Planck, "Uber das Gesetz der Energieverteilung im Normalspectrum," Annalen der Physik, vol. 309, no. 3, pp. 553-563, 1901.

[9] K. Schwarzschild, "Uber das Gravitationsfeld eines Massenpunktes," Sitzungsberichte der Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, pp. 189-196, 1916.

[10] A. G. Riess et al., "Observational Evidence from Supernovae for an Accelerating Universe and a Cosmological Constant," The Astronomical Journal, vol. 116, no. 3, pp. 1009-1038, 1998.

[11] S. Perlmutter et al., "Measurements of Omega and Lambda from 42 High-Redshift Supernovae," The Astrophysical Journal, vol. 517, no. 2, pp. 565-586, 1999.

[12] V. C. Rubin, W. K. Ford Jr., "Rotation of the Andromeda Nebula from a Spectroscopic Survey of Emission Regions," The Astrophysical Journal, vol. 159, p. 379, 1970.

[13] D. J. Gross, F. Wilczek, "Ultraviolet Behavior of Non-Abelian Gauge Theories," Physical Review Letters, vol. 30, no. 26, pp. 1343-1346, 1973.

[14] ATLAS Collaboration, "Observation of a new particle in the search for the Standard Model Higgs boson," Physics Letters B, vol. 716, no. 1, pp. 1-29, 2012.

[15] Planck Collaboration, "Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters," Astronomy & Astrophysics, vol. 641, A6, 2020.

[16] J. A. Wheeler, "Information, Physics, Quantum: The Search for Links," in Proc. 3rd Int. Symp. Found. Quantum Mech., pp. 354-368, 1989.

[17] L. Susskind, "The World as a Hologram," Journal of Mathematical Physics, vol. 36, no. 11, pp. 6377-6396, 1995.

[18] R. Penrose, "On Gravity's Role in Quantum State Reduction," General Relativity and Gravitation, vol. 28, no. 5, pp. 581-600, 1996.

[19] C. Rovelli, "Loop Quantum Gravity," Living Reviews in Relativity, vol. 11, no. 1, 2008.

[20] J. Maldacena, "The Large N Limit of Superconformal Field Theories and Supergravity," International Journal of Theoretical Physics, vol. 38, no. 4, pp. 1113-1133, 1999.

[21] A. Aspect, P. Grangier, G. Roger, "Experimental Tests of Bell's Inequalities Using Time-Varying Analyzers," Physical Review Letters, vol. 49, no. 25, pp. 1804-1807, 1982.

[22] B. P. Abbott et al. (LIGO), "Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Merger," Physical Review Letters, vol. 116, no. 6, 061102, 2016.

[23] D. J. M. Hansen, "Polarized Time Inertia: Foundational Notes," Unpublished research notes, August 2024 - March 2026.